

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by clicking here.

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines here.

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this infomation is current as of January 21, 2011):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/83.full.html

Supporting Online Material can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2011/01/04/331.6013.83.DC1.html

This article **cites 19 articles**, 2 of which can be accessed free: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/331/6013/83.full.html#ref-list-1

This article appears in the following **subject collections:** Neuroscience http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/neuroscience

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2011 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

enhanced actin depolymerization at the mDialbound barbed end. This inhibition occurs in the submillimolar range of P_i , which is two orders of magnitude lower than the dissociation constant of P_i and G-actin (26). Thus, binding of P_i to F-actin inhibits profilin-induced depolymerization (Fig. 4E).

ADP-G-actin (5 µM) elongated mDia1-bound F-actin faster in the presence of 20 mM P_i than in its absence (Fig. 3C). This effect of P_i was more prominent in the presence of profilin than in its absence (Fig. 3C). The decrease in the actin offrate (Fig. 4D) corresponds well with the increase in the ADP-actin elongation rate by 3 to 20 mM P_i (Fig. 4E). We thus suggest that P_i cancels the inhibitory effect of profilin on ADP-actin elongation (Fig. 3C) by abolishing the enhanced barbed end off-rate. The discrepancy of the effect of 1 mM P_i on depolymerization and assembly (Fig. 4, D, and E) is because slow dissociation of P_i prebound to a fraction of the ADP-F-actin subunits [dissociation constant (K_d) ≈ 1.5 mM] may limit terminal subunit dissociation during depolymerization (26), but not dissociation of assembling ADP-actin, which is mostly free from Pi. Profilin thus allows processive elongation of the FH1-FH2-bound barbed end regardless of the actin-bound nucleotide, but attenuates ADP-actin elongation by increasing the barbed end off-rate. Our results urge reconsideration of the ATP-specific acceleration mechanism for formin-associated actin elongation.

Helical rotation of mDia1 was observed during processive ADP-actin elongation in the presence of P_i (Fig. 4F and movie S7). The distance per half-rotation was 35.8 nm (Fig. 4G).

Our data demonstrate continuous rotation of mDia1-bound filaments during both elongation and depolymerization. The distance per half-rotation of F-actin is in the range of 34.6 to 36.8 nm regardless of the actin-bound nucleotide and presence of P_i and profilin (Figs. 2 to 4). These

findings indicate that helical rotation of FH2 is an intrinsic property derived from the helical structure of F-actin. Cellular actin filaments are highly cross-linked as evidenced by single-molecule observations showing movement of actin subunits with no change in their relative positions (29). Therefore, formins must rotate in the cell. The rotation speed of for3p at the cell tip and processively moving mDia1 can reach 250 and 1700 rpm, respectively. If anchoring the growing end of F-actin is the function of formins, the link between formins and cellular structures must be flexible. Alternatively, formin-mediated actin elongation may be regulated by torsional stress in F-actin.

Conversely, formins might modulate the stability of F-actin by helical rotation. Torsional stress induces destabilization of the filament (30). Cofilin, the major actin depolymerizing factor, twists the strand of F-actin, which is thought to contribute to actin disassembly (28). Our data have opened up the possibility that actin elongation and remodeling could be regulated by axial torsion in the filament. Our findings should help elucidate the actin turnover mechanism regulated by formins in the cell.

References and Notes

- 1. N. Watanabe, Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B Phys. Biol. Sci. 86, 62 (2010).
- M. Evangelista, S. Zigmond, C. Boone, J. Cell Sci. 116, 2603 (2003).
- B. L. Goode, M. J. Eck, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 76, 593 (2007).
- 4. C. Higashida et al., Science 303, 2007 (2004).
- M. Pring, M. Evangelista, C. Boone, C. Yang, S. H. Zigmond, *Biochemistry* 42, 486 (2003).
- H. Zighiona, *Biochemistry* 42, 486 (2005).
 D. R. Kovar, E. S. Harris, R. Mahaffy, H. N. Higgs, T. D. Pollard, *Cell* 124, 423 (2006).
- 7. T. Otomo et al., Nature 433, 488 (2005).
- 8. K. C. Holmes, Nature 457, 389 (2009).
- S. Gasman, Y. Kalaidzidis, M. Zerial, Nat. Cell Biol. 5, 195 (2003).
- 10. B. Leader et al., Nat. Cell Biol. 4, 921 (2002).
- 11. A. J. Ridley, A. Hall, Cell 70, 389 (1992).

- N. Watanabe, T. Kato, A. Fujita, T. Ishizaki, S. Narumiya, *Nat. Cell Biol.* 1, 136 (1999).
- 13. C. Higashida *et al.*, *J. Cell Sci.* **121**, 3403 (2008).
- 14. S. M. Buttery, S. Yoshida, D. Pellman, *Mol. Biol. Cell* **18**, 1826 (2007).
- 15. S. G. Martin, F. Chang, Curr. Biol. 16, 1161 (2006).
- D. R. Kovar, T. D. Pollard, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 14725 (2004).
- 17. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* Online.
- I. Sase, H. Miyata, S. Ishiwata, K. Kinosita Jr., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94, 5646 (1997).
- T. Shemesh, T. Otomo, M. K. Rosen, A. D. Bershadsky, M. M. Kozlov, J. Cell Biol. 170, 889 (2005).
- 20. S. Romero *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 8435 (2007).
- 21. T. D. Pollard, I. Goldberg, W. H. Schwarz, J. Biol. Chem. 267, 20339 (1992).
- H. Y. Kueh, T. J. Mitchison, *Science* **325**, 960 (2009).
 A. Orlova *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 17(14) (2001)
- 17664 (2004). 24. K. C. Holmes, D. Popp, W. Gebhard, W. Kabsch, *Nature* **347**, 44 (1990).
- M. R. Bubb, E. G. Yarmola, B. G. Gibson, F. S. Southwick, J. Biol. Chem. 278, 24629 (2003).
- I. Fujiwara, D. Vavylonis, T. D. Pollard, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 8827 (2007).
- L. Blanchoin, T. D. Pollard, J. Biol. Chem. 274, 15538 (1999).
- A. McGough, B. Pope, W. Chiu, A. Weeds, J. Cell Biol. 138, 771 (1997).
- 29. N. Watanabe, T. J. Mitchison, Science 295, 1083 (2002).
- 30. Y. Tsuda, H. Yasutake, A. Ishijima, T. Yanagida, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **93**, 12937 (1996).
- 31. We thank H. Honda for rabbit muscle acetone powder and T. M. Watanabe for customizing the G-track software. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT) and grants from the Uehara Memorial Foundation (N.W.) and the Human Frontier Science Program (N.W.).

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1197692/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S4 References Movies S1 to S9

13 September 2010; accepted 16 November 2010 Published online 9 December 2010; 10.1126/science.1197692

Spontaneous Cortical Activity Reveals Hallmarks of an Optimal Internal Model of the Environment

Pietro Berkes,¹† Gergő Orbán,^{1,2,3} Máté Lengyel,³* József Fiser^{1,4,5}*

The brain maintains internal models of its environment to interpret sensory inputs and to prepare actions. Although behavioral studies have demonstrated that these internal models are optimally adapted to the statistics of the environment, the neural underpinning of this adaptation is unknown. Using a Bayesian model of sensory cortical processing, we related stimulus-evoked and spontaneous neural activities to inferences and prior expectations in an internal model and predicted that they should match if the model is statistically optimal. To test this prediction, we analyzed visual cortical activity of awake ferrets during development. Similarity between spontaneous and evoked activities increased with age and was specific to responses evoked by natural scenes. This demonstrates the progressive adaptation of internal models to the statistics of natural stimuli at the neural level.

ur percepts rely on an internal model of the environment, relating physical processes of the world to inputs received by our senses, and thus their veracity critically hinges upon how well this internal model is adapted to the statistical properties of the environment. For example, internal models in vision are used to extract the features, such as low-level oriented edges or high-level objects, that gave rise to the retinal image (1). This requires that the internal model is adapted to the cooccurrence statistics of visual features in the environment and the way they jointly determine natural images. Several aspects of perception (2, 3), motor control (4), decision making (5, 6), and higher cognitive reasoning (7, 8) are governed by such statistically optimal internal Jownloaded from www.sciencemag.org on January 21, 2011

¹Volen National Center for Complex Systems, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA. ²Department of Biophysics, Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1121 Budapest, Hungary. ³Computational and Biological Learning Lab, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1PZ, UK. ⁴Department of Psychology and the Neuroscience Program, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA. ⁵Collegium Budapest Institute for Advanced Study, Szentháromság utca 2, Budapest H-1014, Hungary.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: berkes@brandeis.edu

REPORTS



internal model in the visual cortex. (A) The posterior distribution represented by EA (bottom, redfilled contours show pairwise activity distributions) in response to a visual stimulus (top) is increasingly dominated by the prior distribution (bottom, gray contours) as brightness or contrast is decreased from maximum (left) to lower levels (center). In the absence of stimulation (right), the posterior converges to the prior, and thus, SA recorded in darkness represents this prior. (B) Multiunit activity recorded in V1 of awake, freely viewing ferrets either receiving no stimulus (middle) or viewing natural (top) or artificial stimuli (bottom) is used to construct neural activity distributions in young and adult animals. Under natural and artificial stimuli conditions, EA distributions represent distributions of visual features (red and green panels) inferred from particular stimuli. Average EA distributions (aEA) evoked by different stimuli ensembles are compared with the distribution of SA recorded in darkness (black panels), representing the prior expectations about visual features. Quantifying the dissimilarity between the SA distribution and the aEA distribution reveals the level of statistical adaptation of the internal model to the stimulus ensemble. The internal model of young animals (left) is expected to show little adaptation to the natural environment and thus aEA for natural (and also for artificial) scenes should be different from SA. Adult animals (right) are expected to be adapted to natural scenes and thus to exhibit a high degree of similarity between SA and natural stimuli-aEA, but not between SA and artificial stimuli-aEA.

Fig. 1. Assessing the statistical optimality of the

models. Yet identifying the neural correlates of optimal internal models has remained a challenge (see supporting online text).

We addressed this problem by relating evoked and spontaneous neural activity (EA and SA, respectively) (9) to two key aspects of Bayesian computations performed with the internal model (Fig. 1A). The first key aspect is that a statistically optimal internal model needs to represent its inferences as a probability distribution, the Bayesian posterior P(features|input, model) (2, 10) describing the inferred probability that a particular combination of features may underlie the input. Thus, under the general assumption that the visual cortex implements such an optimal internal model, EA should represent the posterior probability distribution for a given input image (2, 11, 12), and SA should represent the posterior distribution elicited by a blank stimulus. The second key aspect of a statistically optimal internal model, under only mild assumptions about its structure, is that the posterior represented by SA converges to the prior distribution, which describes prior expectations about the frequency with which any given combination of features may occur in the environment, P(features|model). This is because as the brightness or contrast of the visual stimulus is decreased, inferences about the features present

Fig. 2. Improving match between aEA and SA over development. (A) Spikes were recorded on 16 electrodes, divided into discrete 2-ms bins, and converted to binary strings, so that each string described the activity pattern of cells at a given time point (top). For each condition, the histogram of activity patterns was constructed, and different histograms were compared by measuring their divergence (bottom). (B) Divergence between the distributions of activity patterns in movie-aEA (M) and SA (S), as a function of age (red bars). As a reference, the dashed line shows the average of the within-condition baselines computed with withincondition data split into two halves (fig. S1). (C) Frequency of occurrence of activity patterns under SA (S, y axis) versus movieaEA (M, x axis) in a young (left) and adult (right) animal. Each dot represents one of the 2^{16} = 65,536 possible binary in the input will be increasingly dominated by these prior expectations (for a formal derivation, see supporting online text). This effect has been demonstrated in behavioral studies (3, 13), and it is also consistent with data on neural responses in the primary visual cortex (V1) (14). Relating EA and SA to the posterior and prior distributions provides a complete, data-driven characterization of the internal model without making strong theoretical assumptions about its precise nature.

Crucially, this interpretation of the EA and SA distributions allowed us to assess statistical optimality of the internal model with respect to an ensemble of visual inputs, P(input), using a standard benchmark of the optimality of statistical models (Fig. 1B) (15). A statistical model of visual inputs that is optimally adapted to a stimulus ensemble must have prior expectations that match the actual frequency with which it encounters different visual features in that ensemble (16). The degree of mismatch can be quantified as the divergence between the average posterior and the prior:

$$\begin{split} \text{Div}[\langle P(\text{features}|\text{iput},\text{model})\rangle_{P(\text{input})} \\ & \parallel P(\text{features}|\text{model})] \end{split} \tag{1}$$

where the angular brackets indicate averaging over the stimulus ensemble. A well-calibrated

model will predict correctly the frequency of feature combinations in actual visual scenes, leading to a divergence close to zero. However, if the model is not adapted, or it is adapted to a different stimulus ensemble from the actual test ensemble, then a large divergence is expected. As we identified EA and SA with the posterior and prior distributions of the internal model, the statistical optimality of neural responses with respect to a stimulus ensemble can be quantified by applying Eq. 1 to neural data, i.e., by computing the divergence between the average distribution of multineural EA (aEA), collected in response to stimuli sampled from the stimulus ensemble, and the distribution of SA (*17*) (Fig. 2A).

Because the internal model of the visual cortex needs to be adapted to the statistical properties of natural scenes, Eq. 1 should yield a low divergence between aEA for natural scenes and SA in the mature visual system. We therefore measured the population activity within the visual cortex of awake, freely viewing ferrets in response to naturalscene movies (aEA) and in darkness (SA) at four different developmental stages: after eye opening at postnatal day 29 (P29) to P30, after the maturation of orientation tuning and long-range horizontal connections at P44 to P45 (*18*), and in two groups of mature animals at P83 to P90 and P129 to P151



activity patterns; color code indicates number of spikes. Black line shows equality. The panels at the left of the plots show examples of neural activity on the 16 electrodes in representative SA and movie-aEA trials for the same animals. Error bars on all figures represent SEM.

(n = 16 animals in total, table S1). The divergence between aEA and SA decreased with age (Fig. 2, B and C, Spearman's $\rho = -0.70$, P < 0.004), and the two distributions were not significantly different in mature animals (fig. S1, P83 to P90: m = 5.74, P = 0.11; P129 to P151: m = 2.03, P = 0.25).

What aspects of aEA and SA are responsible for their improving match with age? Redundancy reduction, one prominent assumption regarding neural coding (19), would predict that neurons

Fig. 3. Contribution of spatial and temporal correlations to the match between aEA and SA. (A and B) The role of spatial correlations was quantified by the divergence between the measured distributions of neural activity patterns, movie-aEA (M) and SA (S), and the surrogate versions of the same distributions (M and S), in which correlations between channels were removed, while the firing rates were kept intact (17). (A) The divergence between the measured and surrogate distributions increased significantly over age for both movie-aEA (orange) and SA (gray). (B) Enhanced match between movie-aEA and SA over development (red, compare Fig. 2B) disappeared when spatial correlations were removed from SA (pink). (C and D) Divergence of transition probability distributions between measured neural activity patterns and their surrogate versions, in which temporal correlations were removed, while firing rates and spatial correlations were kept intact (17). (C) Temporal correlations in adult animals (P129 to P151) as a function of the time interval, τ . Within-condition divergences (top) show that temporal correlations decreased with time lag in both movie-aEA (orange) and SA (gray). Acrosscondition comparison (bottom) of the divergence of aEA from the measured SA (red) and from the surrogate SA (pink) shows that temporal correlations in the two conditions were matched up to time intervals when they decayed to zero. (D) Temporal correlations at the shortest time interval ($\tau = 2$ ms) as a function of age. The match of transition probabilities between movieaEA and SA improved (red). Removing temporal correlations from SA eliminated this match (pink). In all figures, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, m test (17).

Α

bits/sec) 600

(KL,

divergence 300

700

500

400

200

100

Fig. 4. Similarity between aEA and SA is specific to natural scenes. (A) Divergence between neural activity patterns evoked by different stimulus ensembles (movie-aEA: red, M; noise-aEA: blue, N; gratings-aEA: green, G) and those observed in SA. In adult animals, SA was significantly more similar to movie-aEA than noise-aEA or gratings-aEA.

behave as sparse (20, 21) and uncorrelated information channels (22). To assess the importance of correlations between the activities of different neurons, we constructed surrogate distributions for aEA and SA that preserved single-neuron firing rates but otherwise assumed that neurons fired independently (17). Thus, any divergence between a real and a surrogate distribution must be due to correlated neural activities of second (23) or higher order. By computing this divergence, we found

Α

that the activity of neurons in both aEA and SA became increasingly correlated (Fig. 3A, Spearman's $\rho = 0.73, P < 0.002$ for both curves) and increasingly nonsparse with age (fig. S2), which argues against redundancy reduction. Moreover, these increasing correlations were important for the match between aEA and SA because the surrogate SA did not converge to the true aEA (Fig. 3B, Spearman's $\rho = 0.34, P = 0.22$), excluding the possibility that the decreasing divergence between aEA and SA



В

(B) Two-dimensional projection of all neural activity distributions. Each dot represents one activity distribution in a different animal, colors indicate stimulus ensembles (movie-aEA: red, M; noise-aEA: blue, N; gratings-aEA: green, G; SA: black, S), intensity indicates age group (in order of increasing intensity: P29 to P30, P44 to P45, P83 to P92, and P129 to P151), ellipses delineate distributions belonging to the same age group. Positions of dots were computed by multidimensional scaling (MDS) to be maximally consistent with pairwise divergences between distributions. Movie-aEAs were defined to be at

29-30

44-45

postnatal age (days)

83-92 129-151

the origin. For young animals (faintest colors), SA was significantly dissimilar from all aEA distributions. In the course of development, SA moved closer to all aEAs; but by P129 to P151, SA was significantly more similar to movie-aEA than artificial stimuli-aEAs, as quantified in (A). (C) Divergences measured directly between different aEA distributions (noise-aEA and movie-aEA: magenta, gratings-aEA and movie-aEA: yellow, gratings-aEA and noise-aEA: cyan) showed no decrease in the specificity of the responses to different stimulus ensembles.

0

29-30

44-45

postnatal age (days)

83-92 129-151

-0.4 – -0.5

-0.4

-0.3 -0.2 -0.1

MDS dim 1 (a.u.)

0 0.1 could be accounted for by changes in the firing rates of neurons alone.

An appropriate model of the visual environment should also capture its temporal dynamics. Therefore, we extended our analysis beyond the purely spatial domain to the temporal domain. We measured the probability of transitioning between any two patterns in a wide range of temporal delays for all conditions and tested the strength and match of temporal correlations by using surrogate distributions as was done in the spatial domain (17). The activity of neurons showed strong temporal correlations up to ~20 ms in both aEA and SA in adult animals (Fig. 3C). A strong prediction of the hypothesis that V1 neural activity reflects a statistically optimal internal model is that these transition probabilities should also be matched between aEA, when V1 processes temporally strongly structured visual input, and SA, when no visual stimulus is provided. Indeed, we found that the match between transition probabilities in aEA and SA significantly improved with age (Fig. 3D, Spearman's $\rho = -0.72$, P < 0.003), such that in adult animals the temporal correlations were matched up to delays when they decayed to zero (Fig. 3C).

If the internal model reflected in V1 activity is tuned specifically to the natural visual environment, then the match between aEA and SA should also be specific to using a natural image ensemble for eliciting aEA, and other, "artificial" stimulus ensembles should yield higher divergences between aEA and SA for mature animals. To test this prediction, aEA was collected with two other types of stimulus classes: drifting sinusoid gratings at different orientations and frequencies, as well as dynamic binary block noise that was updated at frame rate (17). Indeed, although in young animals there was no significant difference between the degree of match of SA and aEA, in the oldest age group SA was significantly better matched to neural activity evoked by natural images than that evoked by the two artificial stimulus ensembles (Fig. 4, A and B, movie versus noise: m = 16.47, P < 0.05; movie versus grating: m = 943.07, P < 0.002). Furthermore, the divergence between different aEA distributions did not decrease significantly with age (Fig. 4, B and C, movie versus noise: $\rho = 0.19$, P = 0.49, movie versus grating: $\rho = 0.67$, P = 0.07), which ruled out the possibility that the decreasing divergence between aEA and SA was due to a general decoupling of V1 from sensory input (see also fig. S3).

Our results suggest that V1 implements an internal model that is adapted gradually during development to the statistical structure of the natural visual environment and that SA reflects prior expectations of this internal model. Although these findings do not address the degree to which statistical adaptation in the cortex is driven by visual experience or by developmental programs, they set useful constraints for both dynamical (24) and functional models (12) of sensory processing. We expect our approach to extend to other brain areas and to provide a general, quantitative way to test future proposals for computational strategies used by the cortex.

References and Notes

- D. Kersten, P. Mamassian, A. Yuille, *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 55, 271 (2004).
- J. Fiser, P. Berkes, G. Orbán, M. Lengyel, *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 14, 119 (2010).
- Y. Weiss, E. P. Simoncelli, E. H. Adelson, *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 598 (2002).
- D. M. Wolpert, Z. Ghahramani, M. I. Jordan, Science 269, 1880 (1995).
- 5. A. C. Courville, N. D. Daw, D. S. Touretzky, *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **10**, 294 (2006).
- J. Trommershäuser, L. T. Maloney, M. S. Landy, Trends Cogn. Sci. 12, 291 (2008).
- A. P. Blaisdell, K. Sawa, K. J. Leising, M. R. Waldmann, Science 311, 1020 (2006).
- 8. D. Sobel, J. B. Tenenbaum, A. Gopnik, *Cogn. Sci.* **28**, 303 (2004).

 Unlike traditional neural data analysis methods (15), which require averaging responses over trials using the same stimulus, here the term EA refers to the whole distribution of evoked neural activity patterns in response to a stimulus.

- 10. E. T. Jaynes, *Probability Theory: The Logic of Science* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- 11. P. O. Hoyer, A. Hyvarinen, *Adv. Neural Inf. Process Syst.* **16**, 293 (2003).
- W. J. Ma, J. M. Beck, P. E. Latham, A. Pouget, *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 1432 (2006).
- 13. A. A. Stocker, E. P. Simoncelli, *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 578 (2006).
- 14. I. Nauhaus, L. Busse, M. Carandini, D. L. Ringach, *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 70 (2009).
- 15. P. Dayan, L. F. Abbott, *Theoretical Neuroscience* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999).
- Y. W. Teh, M. Welling, S. Osindero, G. E. Hinton, J. Mach. Learn. Res. 4, 1235 (2004).
- 17. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* Online.
- 18. F. Sengpiel, P. C. Kind, Curr. Biol. 12, R818 (2002).
- 19. H. B. Barlow, in *Sensory Communication* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1961), pp. 217–234.
- 20. B. A. Olshausen, D. J. Field, *Nature* **381**, 607 (1996).
- 21. Y. Karklin, M. S. Lewicki, Nature 457, 83 (2009).
- 22. A. J. Bell, T. J. Sejnowski, Vision Res. 37, 3327 (1997).
- E. Schneidman, M. J. Berry 2nd, R. Segev, W. Bialek, Nature 440, 1007 (2006).
- J. A. Goldberg, U. Rokni, H. Sompolinsky, Neuron 42, 489 (2004).
- 25. We thank D. Wolpert and D. Katz for suggestions on the manuscript, C. Chiu and M. Weliky for help with the data collection, and D. Lisitsyn for technical help. This work was supported by the Swartz Foundation (J.F., G.O., and P.B.), the Swiss National Science Foundation (P.B.), an EU-FP7 Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (G.O.), the Wellcome Trust (M.L.), and NIH (J.F.).

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/331/6013/83/DC1 Materials and Methods SOM Text Figs. S1 to S4 Tables S1 and S2

References

30 July 2010; accepted 29 November 2010 10.1126/science.1195870

Electrical Synapses Control Hippocampal Contributions to Fear Learning and Memory

Stephanie Bissiere, Moriel Zelikowsky, Ravikumar Ponnusamy, Nathan S. Jacobs, Hugh T. Blair, Michael S. Fanselow*

The role of electrical synapses in synchronizing neuronal assemblies in the adult mammalian brain is well documented. However, their role in learning and memory processes remains unclear. By combining Pavlovian fear conditioning, activity-dependent immediate early gene expression, and in vivo electrophysiology, we discovered that blocking neuronal gap junctions within the dorsal hippocampus impaired context-dependent fear learning, memory, and extinction. Theta rhythms in freely moving rats were also disrupted. Our results show that gap junction–mediated neuronal transmission is a prominent feature underlying emotional memories.

U

nlike chemical synapses, the role of electrical synapses in fear learning and memory remains largely unknown (1-3). In the adult mammalian brain, gap junctions formed by connexin 36 (Cx36) couple γ-aminobutyric acid– releasing (GABAergic) interneurons that participate in the generation of synchronized oscillations (2–4). Cx36 expression has been localized within the amygdala-hippocampus-cortical axis (4, 5), and disrupted hippocampal and cortical oscillations have been reported in Cx36 knockout mice (6, 7). Electrical synapses undergo posttranslational modifications and activity-dependent plasticity similar to chemical synapses (8, 9). Thus, we hypothesized that electrical synapses may be important for the formation and maintenance of fear behaviors and memories.

Rats received intraperitoneal injections of the general gap junction blocker carbenoxolone (Cbx) (10, 11) or the selective Cx36 blocker mefloquine (Meflo) (12) and were fear-conditioned using three pairings of a neutral tone (conditional stimulus, CS) with an aversive footshock (uncon-

Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: fanselow@psych.ucla.edu